REMARKS

Claims 1-31 remain pending in the present application. Claims 1-31 are rejected.

Claims 1 and 12-23 have been amended herein. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 1-32 are allowable. Applicants with to thank the Examiner for allowing Claims 1-32

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 12-22

The present office action states that Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101, as being directed toward non-statutory subject matter.

The Examiner has provided the suggestion "To overcome the 101 rejection, add "non-transitory" as a modifier to the limitation "computer readable medium."

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the suggestion and have amended the Claims accordingly. Thus, the rejection of Claims 12-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 101, is moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-31

The present office action states that Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and
distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

The Examiner has provided the suggestion "To over come the rejection, change the limitation « Macintosh operating system» to « operating system «."

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the suggestion and have amended the Claims accordingly. Thus, the rejection of Claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, is moot.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-31

On page 4 item 9, the present office action states that Claims 1-31 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 7,316,033 in view of Doherty US 6,920,567.

A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.321(c) is being submitted concurrent with the instant response, thereby obviating the double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-31

On page 6 item 12, the present office action states that Claims 1-31 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-29 of U.S. Patent No. 7,570,761 in view of Doherty US 6,920,567.

A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.321(c) is being submitted concurrent with the instant response, thereby obviating the double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-31

On page 7 item 14, the present office action states that Claims 1-31 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 7.578.002 in view of Doherty US 6.920.567.

A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.321(c) is being submitted concurrent with the instant response, thereby obviating the double patenting rejection.

Claims 1-31

On page 8 item 16, the present office action states that Claims 1-31 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 7,584,353 in view of Doherty US 6,920,567.

MOMI-018 Examiner: Kim. J. Serial No.:10/772,031 Art Unit: 2432 A terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.321(c) is being submitted concurrent with the instant response, thereby obviating the double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for allowing Claims 1-31.

As stated herein, Applicants have amended the Claims per the Office Action's suggestions to overcome the 101 and 112 rejections. Further, the terminal disclaimers have been provided herewith to overcome the nonstatutory double patenting rejections.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that no outstanding matters remain and that the Claims are in condition for issuance. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for working with Applicants to resolve the outstanding matters.

MOMI-018 Examiner: Kim, J. Serial No.:10/772,031 Art Unit: 2432

CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, the Applicants respectfully request issuance of the allowable Claims 1-31.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted, WAGNER BLECHER LLP

DATE: APRIL 30, 2010 /JOHN P. WAGNER, JR./

JOHN P. WAGNER, JR. REG. No. 35,398

Westridge Business Park 123 Westridge Drive Watsonville, California 95076 (408) 377-0500

Serial No.:10/772,031 Art Unit: 2432